		Case 3:08-cv-00271-CRB	Document 8	Filed 02/04/2008	Page 1 of 3		
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSAN S. MUCK (CSB NO. DEAN S. KRISTY (CSB NO. CATHERINE DUDEN KEVA FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Flo San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350 smuck@fenwick.com dkristy@fenwick.com ckevane@fenwick.com	126930) 157646) ANE (CSB NO. 22 or	15501)	Page 1 of 3		
	8	Shoretel, Inc.; John W. Combs; Michael E. Healy; Edwin J. Basart; Gary J. Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D. Denman; Charles D. Kissner; and Edward F. Thompson					
	10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
FEMINALCH & WEST LELL ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO	12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
	13						
	14	WILLIAM WATKINS, Indivi- Behalf of All Others Similarly		Case No. CV 08	0271 CRB		
	15	Plaintiff,	Situated,	STIPULATION FOR ALL DEFI	EXTENDING TIME		
	16	v.		RESPOND TO			
	17	SHORETEL, INC.; JOHN W.	COMBS:				
	18	MICHAEL E. HEALY; EDWI GARY J. DAICHENDT; THO	IN J. BASART;				
	19	OVERBEEK; KENNETH D. I CHARLES D. KISSNER; EDV	DENMAN;				
	20 21	THOMPSON; LEHMAN BROTHER J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES, INC.; PIPER JAFFRAY & CO.,					
	22	Defendants.					
	23			_			
	24	WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is alleged to be class actions asserting violations					
	25	of sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. §§ 77k and 77o) against					
	26	Defendants Shoretel, Inc., John W. Combs, Michael E. Healy, Edwin J. Basart, Gary J.					
	27	Daichendt, Thomas Van Overbeek, Kenneth D. Denman, Charles D. Kissner, Edward F.					
	28	Thompson, Lehman Brothers Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Piper Jaffray & Co.					
		STIP EXTENDING TIME FOR ALL	EXTENDING TIME FOR ALL DEFS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO CV 08 0271 CRB				

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

(collectively referred to as "Defendants");

WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is subject to the requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-67, 109 Stat. 737 (1995) (the "Reform Act"), which sets forth specialized procedures for the administration of securities class actions;

WHEREAS, the Reform Act provides for the appointment of a lead plaintiff to act on behalf of the purported class, and further provides that the appointment of lead plaintiff shall not be made until after a decision on a motion to consolidate is rendered (15 U.S.C. 77z-1(a)(3)(B)(ii));

WHEREAS, a motion to consolidate and for appointment of a lead plaintiff and lead counsel will be filed in the above-captioned action;

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to file motions to dismiss the claims asserted against them; and

WHEREAS, because the special procedures specified in the Reform Act contemplate (i) the consolidation of similar actions, (ii) appointment of lead plaintiff and (iii) the filing of a single consolidated complaint by lead plaintiff and counsel to be appointed by the Court, requiring Defendants to respond to the initial complaint in the above-captioned action would serve no purpose and would result in the needless expenditure of private and judicial resources;

IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 6-1(a), by and between the undersigned counsel for the parties in this action, that the time for each Defendant to answer, move or otherwise respond to the complaint in the above-captioned action is extended until following the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff and Lead Plaintiff's Counsel and that, thereafter, the parties shall meet and confer and submit a mutually agreeable schedule for the filing of a consolidated complaint (or designation of an operative complaint), if necessary, as well as for the briefing and hearing of responses thereto. The consolidated or operative complaint shall supersede all complaints filed in any action that is consolidated herein.

			1 1100 02/0 1/2000 1 ago 0 01 0			
FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law San Francisco	1	Dated: February 4, 2008	FENWICK & WEST LLP			
	2					
	3		By: /s/ Catherine D. Kevane Catherine D. Kevane			
	4		Attorneys for Defendants			
	5		•			
	6		Shoretel, Inc.; John W. Combs; Michael E. Healy; Edwin J. Basart; Gary J. Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D. Denman;			
	7		Charles D. Kissner; and Edward F. Thompson			
	8	I, Catherine D. Kevane, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file				
	9	this STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO				
	10	COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that each of the two				
	11	signatories identified below has concurred in this filing.				
	12					
	13	Dated: February 4, 2008	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP			
	14					
	15		By: /s/ Reed R. Kathrein Reed R. Kathrein			
	16		Attorneys for Plaintiff			
	17					
	18	Dated: February 4, 2008	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP			
	19					
	20		By: /s/ Charlene S. Shimada Charlene S. Shimada			
	21		Attorneys for Defendants			
	22		•			
	23		Lehman Brothers Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Piper Jaffray & Co.			
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					
			3			

STIP EXTENDING TIME FOR ALL DEFS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO CV 08 0271 CRB